

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,354	04/20/2001	Jay Yogeshwar	FPD-2	1842
26479	7590 04/21/2004		EXAMI	NER
STRAUB & POKOTYLO 620 TINTON AVENUE BLDG. B, 2ND FLOOR			DO, ANH HONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724			2624	2
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-m/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/839,354	YOGESHWAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANH H DO	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-8,13-18,23,25 and 27</u> is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,9-12,19-22,24 and 26</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. ejected. eted to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 and 3</u> .	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 27 recites the limitation "the preview module" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sethuraman et al. (U.S., Patent No. 6434196).

Regarding claim 1, Sethuraman discloses:

- analyzing image data to be encoded to determine, for each image represented

Art Unit: 2624

by the image data, a level of encoding complexity (col. 9, lines 27-34, teaches analyzing image data by examining the number of bits allocated to a previously encoded information frame portion to broadly determine a complexity level of the previously encoded portion and, thereby, responsively predict a complexity level of a current portion to be encoded);

- encoding said image data according to a first encoding format to generate first encoded image data (col. 5, lines 35-48, teaches encoding module 104 for encoding the image data according to an encoding mode (i.e., the first encoding format) to generate the intra/inter-coded image data);
- storing with the first encoded image data encoding complexity level information indicating at least one determined level of encoding complexity associated with the first encoded image data (Fig. 1: output buffer 160 for storing with the first encoded image data outputted from VLC 120 encoding complexity level information from rate controller 140 indicating at least one determined level of encoding complexity associated with the first encoded image data).

Regarding claim 25, Sethuraman discloses image data processing system comprising:

- an analysis module for analyzing data to be encoded and to assign one of a plurality of encoding levels of complexity to the data to be encoded (col. 9, lines 27-34, teaches a rate controller 140 corresponding to the claimed analysis module for analyzing image data by examining the number of bits allocated to a previously encoded information frame portion to broadly determine a complexity level of the

Art Unit: 2624

previously encoded portion and, thereby, responsively predict a complexity level of a current portion to be encoded);

- an encoder for generating encoded data from said to be encoded (Fig. 1: VLC
 120);
- a file wrapper module for incorporating an encoding complexity level identifier indicating the encoding complexity level assigned to said data to be encoded and said encoded data into a single file (Fig. 1: output buffer 160 for incorporating an encoding complexity level identifier predicted by rate controller 140 indicating the encoding complexity level assigned to said data to be encoded and said encoded data S101 from VLC 120 into a single file);
 - a data storage device for storing said single file (Fig. 1: output buffer 160).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Dieterich (U.S. Patent No. 6100940).

Regarding claim 18, Dieterich discloses:

- performing an automated scene analysis operation on image data to be encoded to generate image content information (Fig. 1: preprocessing section 110 to extract side information (i.e., image content information));

Application/Control Number: 09/839,354 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

- encoding said image data according to a first encoding format to generate encoded image data (Fig. 1: encoder 180 for encoding said image data according to a first encoding format from coding parameter selector 182 to generate encoded image data);

- storing the generated image content information in a file with the first encoded image data (Fig. 6: buffer 690).

Regarding claim 23, Dieterich discloses:

- a scene analysis module for performing scene analysis on image data to generate image content information (Fig. 1: preprocessing section 110 to extract side information (i.e., image content information));
- means for receiving additional image content information from a user of the system (Fig. 1: side information inserter 160);
- an encoder for encoding said image data according to a first encoding format to generate encoded image data (Fig. 1: encoder 180 for encoding said image data according to a first encoding format from coding parameter selector 182 to generate encoded image data);
- a storage device for storing the first encoded image data, image content description information generated by performing said content analysis operation (Fig. 6: buffer 690).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3, 4, 6-8, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sethuraman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6434196) in view of Dieterich (U.S. Patent No. 6100940).

Regarding claim 3, Sethuraman discloses as in claim 1 above. However,

Sethuraman does not teach expressly performing an automated image content analysis operation on at least one image represented by said image data and storing with the first encoded image data, image content description information generated by performing said content analysis operation.

Dieterich discloses:

- performing an automated image content analysis operation on at least one image represented by said image data (Fig. 1: preprocessing section 110 to extract side information (i.e., image content information));
- storing, with the first encoded image data, image content description information generated by performing said content analysis operation (Fig. 6: buffer 690).

Sethuraman & Dieterich are combinable because they are from image encoding system.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to perform an automated image content analysis operation on at least one image represented by said image data and store with the first encoded image data,

Art Unit: 2624

image content description information generated by performing said content analysis operation, in Sethuraman as taught by Dieterich.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to achieve highly efficient utilization of memory and processing resources within the encoding environment (Sethuraman: col. 2, lines 16-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Sethuraman with Dieterich to obtain the invention as specified in claim 3.

Regarding claim 4, Dieterich teaches:

- receiving image content information from a user of the system (Fig. 1: side information inserter 160);
- storing, with the first encoded image data and the image content description information generated by performing said content analysis operation, the mage content description information received from the system user (Fig. 6: buffer 690).

The motivation for combining the two references is set forth in the discussion of claim 3.

Regarding claim 6, Dieterich teaches:

- selecting, based on the determined encoding complexity level information, an image represented by the first encoded image data, to be viewed after decoding (col. 6, lines 57-63, teaches selecting an image data to be used as a reference for subsequent encoding, based on the determined encoding complexity level information as disclosed in col. 6, lines 37-39).

Regarding claim 7, Dieterich teaches:

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/839,354

Art Unit: 2624

- decoding the encoded image data representing the selected image to generate decoded image data (Fig. 7: decoder 712);

- displaying the decoded selected image on a display device (Fig. 10: output device 1020 which can be a video monitor as disclosed in col. 16, lines 56-61).

Regarding claim 8, Dieterich teaches the step of decoding the encoded image data is performed as part of said encoding step (Fig. 6: inverse DCT 665).

Regarding claim 13, Dieterich teaches generating a measure of activity (i.e., motion) of the image data (Fig. 6: motion compensation 650 and motion estimation 640).

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Dieterich teaches:

- generating a measure of the luminance variance /chrominance variance throughout at least one image represented by said image data (col. 5, lines 20-27).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Dieterich teaches:

- generating a measure of the motion and true motion vectors between at least two complete frames, each frame corresponding to a different image (Fig. 6: motion compensation 650 and motion estimation 640); and
 - storing the true motion vectors with encoded image data (Fig. 6: buffer 690).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2, 5, 9-12, 19-22, 24, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/839,354 Page 9

Art Unit: 2624

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 2, 5, 9-12, 19-22, 24 and 26, the prior art, either taken singly or in combination, does not teach:

- determining at least one encoding parameter to be used to re-encode the decoded image data as a function of the retrieved encoding complexity level information (see claims 2 and 5);
- selecting the first encoding format from a plurality of supported encoding
 formats, as a function of the determined level of encoding complexity (see claims 9 and
 10);
- using the retrieved encoding complexity level... the first encoding format (see claims 11 and 12);
- selecting a second encoding format to be used for outputting images represented by said encoded image data as a function of at least one of said generated content information and said additional content information (see claims 19-22);
 - means for selecting,.. said first encoded image data (see claim 24);
 - a preview module... with said encoded data (see claim 26).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANH H DO whose telephone number is 703-308-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

Art Unit: 2624

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID K MOORE can be reached on 703-308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 19, 2004.

ANH HONG DO PRIMARY EXAMINER